


STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 327 Response

Dept. Name Year


1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Audio visual method
2. Audio visual method
3. Audio visual method
4. Audio visual method
5. Audio visual method
6. ????
7. Good
8. Good
9. Good
10. All good
11. Audio visual method
12. Very good

13. Very good
14. Good
15. All good
16. Good
17. Good
18. No
19. No
20. No
21. No problem
22. No
23. No
24. No
25. No
26. No
27. Good
28. Good
29. Very good
30. Good
31. ??? ???? ?????? ???
32. No
33. No problem
34. Very good
35. Very good
36. Very good
37. Very good
38. Yes
39. Very good
40. Very good
41. Very good
42. Very good
43. Over all ok. Go ahead
44. Audio visual method
45. Good
46. Aro classo book
47. Very good madam.
48. No
49. All good
50. Very good
51. Good

- 52. Good teacher
- 53. Yes
- 54. Good
- 55. Nice teacher and department
- 56. Very good
- 57. Good
- 58. Very good
- 59. All rights
- 60. Good
- 61. Aro class
- 62. Very good
- 63. Very good
- 64. Good
- 65. All good
- 66. Very Good
- 67. All good
- 68. No
- 69. All improvements are awesome
- 70. Yes
- 71. No
- 72. ?????? ?????? ??????? ????????
- 73. Good
- 74. All is good
- 75. Very good
- 76. No problem
- 77. Good
- 78. Audio visual method
- 79. No
- 80. Good
- 81. ?????? ?????? ??????? ????????
- 82. Good
- 83. Good
- 84. Good
- 85. No
- 86. Very good
- 87. Good
- 88. Very good
- 89. No
- 90. Thank you
- 91. Good

92. Very good

93. Very good

94. Very good

95. Very good

96. ?????? ?????? ??????? ????????

97. Yes

98. Good

99. Good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 48 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Good
2. Good
3. Very good
4. Very good
5. Very good
6. Very good
7. Good
8. Good
9. Good
10. Good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 55 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Audio visual method

2. Good sir

3. Good

4. Good

5. Very good

6. Very good

7. Very good

8. Very good

9. Good

10. Good

11. Good

12. Good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 48 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Audio visual method

2. Good

3. Good

4. Very good

5. Very good

6. Very good

7. Very good

8. ???? ?????

9. Good

10. No

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 45 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Audio visual method
2. Good sir
3. Good
4. Very good
5. Very good
6. Very good
7. Very good
8. Very good
9. Good
10. All good
11. Good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 116 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Audio visual method
2. Audio visual method
3. Audio visual method
4. No
5. Good
6. Good
7. Very good
8. Very good
9. All are Very good
10. Audio visual method
11. Good
12. Good

13. Nice department

Total116 Response Found

14. Very good

15. No

16. No

17. No

18. Good

19. Good

20. Good

21. Good

22. Good

23. Good

24. Very good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 112 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Good

2. No

3. Exilent

4. No

5. No

6. Very good

7. Good

8. No

9. No

10. No problem

11. No problem

12. No

13. Very very good

Total112 Response Found

14. Good

15. Very good

16. Good

17. Yes

18. Very good

19. Very good

20. Good

21. Very good

22. Very good

23. My bengali teacher is very good .

24. Very good

25. ?????? ????? ???? ??

26. Valo

27. Yes

28. Good

29. Let's go further


30. Yes

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 45 Response

Dept. Name Year


1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Audio visual method

2. Good sir

3. Good

4. Very good

5. Very good

6. Very good

7. Very good

8. Very good

9. Good

10. All good

11. Good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 73 Response

Dept. Botany


Name Dr. Shibdas

Year 2022


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



12. Overall rating



1. Good
2. Sir teach very well
3. Digital Upgradation and smart classroom are very Important
4. Digital upgradation & smart classroom are very important
5. Smart class room
6. Very good teacher
7. Smart classroom
8. Smart class room
9. Smart classroom
10. ??????? ???????? ???????? ???????? ??? ???? ??????
11. Good
12. ?????? ???? ?????? ??? ???? ????

13. Sir is very Intalegent

Total73 Response Found

14. Good

15. Good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 36 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Please taking special Care in our practical.
2. dr.sudha samanta sir very helpful.
3. Good
4. Good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 50 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Good
2. Good Teacher
3. ?????? ???? ???,??? ??? ?????? ?? ??? ?????? ?? ???? ???? ?????? ???? ???? ?
4. Good
5. Good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 50 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- Good
- Digital technology upgradation is very essential
- Digital Upgradation
- Sir teach very well.
- Digital class room are very important.
- Smart classroom
- Smart class room
- Smart classroom
- ???? ??? ?? ??, ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ??? ?????
- ??? ????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ??? ????? ????
- Good
- Good

13. Good

Total50 Response Found

14. All good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 70 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions


- Well
- Laboratory Instruments Upgradation is very Important.
- Laboratory instrument upgradation is very important
- Laboratory instruments and smart class room are very important.
- Smart class room
- Smart classroom
- Smart classroom
- ???? ??? ??? ?? ?????? ???????? ???????? ???????? ??? ????
- ???? ?????????? ???????? ??, ????? ??? ?????? ?????, ? ????? ????? ?????? ??? ?? ??? ??? ?????? ??? ?????? ????
- Good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 100 Response

Dept. Name Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. All is well...
2. Well
3. Well
4. Digital Technology Upgradation is very Essential
5. Digital technology upgradation is very essential
6. Digital upgradation is very essential.
7. Smart classroom
8. Very good teacher
9. Smart class room
10. Sob kichu valo cholchea
11. Smart classroom
12. Good

13. ????? ??? ?? ??, ?????? ???????? chapter wise ?????? ???????? ??? ??? ??????

Total100 Response Found

14. Smart classroom

15. Good

16. ?????? ??? ?? ??? ??? ??? ??? ???

17. Sir is very Intalegent

18. ?????? ???????? ?????????????? ?? ????? ???????? ???????? ?? ??? ??????????

19. Please maintent lab equipment's.

20. Sir, teach very well.

21. Need projector and some Practical instruments for better experience

22. Sob valo hochea

23. It is vary good

24. Online classes


25. Very good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 48 Response

 Dept. Name Year


1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Good
2. Good
3. Our teaching system is very good
4. All improvements are awesome.
5. All improvements are awesome.

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 27 Response

Dept. Chemistry


Name Dr. Prasenjit

Year 2022


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. All improvements are awesome .
2. All improvements are awesome.
3. We want more of this teacher's class.

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 26 Response

Dept. Chemistry


Name Dr. Prasun K

Year 2022


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. All improvements are awesome.
2. All improvements are awesome .

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 16 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. All improvements are awesome .
2. All improvements are awesome.

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 18 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions


1. All improvements are awesome .
2. All improvements are awesome.
3. All improvements are awesome .
4. All improvements are awesome.
5. Really very good teacher .

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 17 Response

 Dept. Name Year


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. All improvements are awesome.
2. All improvements are awesome .
3. All improvements are awesome .

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 119 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. My view on education is that our teaching services are consistent in all respects

2. My view on education is that our teaching services are consistent in all respects. ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????????????? ?????? ?????????? ?????? ??? ?????????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????????? ?????? ?????? ???, ?????? ?????????????? ?????????? ?????????,??? ???

3. ??????? ??????? ??????? ?????????? ??????? ?????, ??? ?????? ?????? ??? ?????? ??????? ?????????? ??????

4. All good

5. Teachers taught us very well.

6. ??????? ??????????? ?? ?????? ?????? ??? ?????? ?????

7. Sir amaderke valo vabe pariya thakha .sir are para amara valo lage .sir are class aro bariya deoya houk

8. ?????????? ??????? ??????? ?????? ??????

9. Krishna prosad sir, teching quality average for me. ????

10. Krishan prosad sir jodi o call s koray thakan ami onar class akta o korta parey ney????

11. Very good

Total 119 Response Found

[illegible]

16. Very good

17. Very Good

18. Very good

19. Very good

20. Very good

21. Nice

22. All good

23. Very good

24. Good

25. Yes

26. Not bad ,,,,,

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 158 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Our teachers and madams are so good.They give their best .

2. My view on education is that our teaching services are consistent in all respects

3. My view on education is that our teaching services are consistent in all respects. ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????????????? ?????? ?????????? ?????? ??? ?????????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????????? ?????? ?????? ???, ?????? ?????????????? ?????????? ?????????,??? ???

4. My view on education is that our teaching services are consistent in all respects. ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????????????? ?????? ?????????? ?????? ??? ?????????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????????? ?????? ?????? ???, ?????? ?????????????? ?????????? ?????????,??? ???

5. All good

6. ?????????? ?????? ?????? ??? ? ?????? ?????????? ??? ?????????? ??? ?????, ??? ?????? ?????????? ?????? ?? ??? ?????? ?????????? ??????

7. Teachers taught us very well.

8. Mam er teaching quality is the best for me. ????

9. ?????????? ?????????? ??? ?????? ?????? ??? ??? ?????,?? ??? ?? ?????? ?????????? ?????? ???

10. ?????? ?????????? ?????? ?????? ?????

11. ?????????? ?????????? ?????????? ?????? ?????? ?

11. ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????? :

12. Madam amader class valo vabe poray .Bujta na parla valo vabe bujiya thaka.

Total158 Response Found

13. ?????? ?????????????? ????? ?????? ??? ?????? ?????? ??? ??? ??? ??????

14. Moupornamam teching khub sundur laga...????

15. Mam amader khub jotno sohokare poran.

16. Very good

17. Good

18. Good

19. Very good

20. ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ??? ????? ?? ????? ?????? ?????? ?????

21. Very good

22. Good

23. good

24. Very good

25. Very good

26. Very good

27. All good

28. Good

29. Very good

30. Ok


31. Not bad but haby angry

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 178 Response

Dept. Name Year


1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. My view on education is that our teaching services are consistent in all respects

2. My view on education is that our teaching services are consistent in all respects. ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????????????? ?????? ?????????? ?????? ??? ?????????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????????? ?????? ?????? ???, ?????? ?????????????? ?????????? ?????????,??? ???

3. All good

4. ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ??? ??? ??? ???

5. ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????????????? ?????? ?????????????? ?????? ??? ?????????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????????? ?????? ?????? ???, ?????? ?????????????? ?????????? ?????????,??? ?????????? ?????? ??? ?????????? ??? ?? ??? ??????????

6. Teachers taught us very well.

7. Nalini sir er teaching style is very interesting for me????.

8. Sir ?????? ??? ??????,?? ?????? ??? ?????????????? ?????? ?????,

9. ?????? ?????????????? ?? ?????? ?????? ??? ?????? ????

10. ?????????? ?????????? ?????????? ?????? ?????? ?

11. Sir khub valo

11. Sir khub valo

12. Sir amaderke valo vabe para bujiya thaka . Sir are class aro barano hok.

13. Nalini sir ?? ????? ??????? ????

14. Very good

15. Very good

16. Good

17. Sir khub valo vabe jotno sohokare poran.

18. ?????????? ????? ?? ???? ????? ?????? ?????? ???? ??? ??? ???? ??? ??? ????? ?????? ?????? ?? ???? ?????? ??? ???? ???

19. Sir er class ektu besi kore dile valo hoto

20. Very Good

21. very very good

22. Very good

23. Good

24. Good

25. Good

26. Good

27. Not

28. Good

29. Good

30. Good

31. Good

32. Good

33. Very good

34. Very good

35. Very good

36. Good

37. Good

38. Good

39. Very good

40. Very good

41. Very good

42. All good

43. All good

44. Very good

45. ?????? ????? ???? ??

46. Good

47. Good

48. Not bad but interesting

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 172 Response

Dept. Education


Name Mr. Prasenjit

Year 2022


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. My view on education is that our teaching services are consistent in all respects

2. My view on education is that our teaching services are consistent in all respects

3. My view on education is that our teaching services are consistent in all respects. ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????????????? ?????? ?????????? ?????? ??? ?????????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????????? ?????? ?????? ???, ?????? ?????????????? ?????????? ?????????,??? ???

4. Dipartment ar library thakla valo hoto

5. All good

6. ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????????? ?????? ??? ??? ?????? ??? ?????? ?????? ?????????? ?????????? ??? ?????????? ??????

7. ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????????????? ?????? ?????????? ?????? ??? ?????????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????????? ?????? ?????? ???, ?????? ?????????????? ?????????? ?????????,??? ?????????? ?????? ??? ?????????? ??? ?? ??? ??????????

8. Sir er teaching quality is very good for me. ????

9. Teachers taught us very well.

10. ?????? ?????????? ?? ?????? ?????? ??? ?????? ????

11. Sir ?? ??????? padhatti ??? ?????? ?????? ?? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ??? ?????? ?????? ??????

11. Sir ?? ????? paunatti ??? ?????,?? ????? paunatti ?????

Total172 Response Found

12. ??????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ????? ?

13. Sir amader somossa samadhane r jonno sahajo korle valo hoy.

14. Prasenjit sir class valo lage.

15. Sir amaderke valo vabe bujiya thaka.sir sabar satha mista sikiyacha

16. Prasenjit sir teaching style ??? khub sundur laga ?

17. Very good

18. Very good

19. Very good

20. ????????? ????? ?? ?????? ??? ????? ??? ????? ?????? ??? ??? ??? ??? ?? ????? ??? ?????? ??????? ??? ??? ?????? ?????? ??? ????? ???

21. Good

22. Very good

23. very good

24. Very good

25. Omg

26. Very good

27. Very good

28. Good

29. Very good

30. Good

31. All good

32. Good

33. Jiboner prothom Alor pothe

34. Jiboner prothom alor pothe

35. Jiboner prothom alor pothe

36. Jiboner prothom Alor pothe

37. Ok

38. Not bad

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 290 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. offline class
2. Audio visual method
3. Very good ??????????????????????
4. Audio visual method
5. My view on education is that our teaching services are consistent in all respects
6. Good
7. My view of education is that our teaching service are consistance in all respect
8. My view of education is that our teaching services are consistent in all respects
9. My view on education is that, out teaching surviccess are consistent in all respects
10. My view on education is that our teaching services are consistent in all respects
11. Dipartment ar library thakla valo hoto
12. All good

51. May everything be better and better

Total290 Response Found

52. Vere good

53. Very good

54. Sir amaderke valo vabe pariya thakha.tai amadar kono problem hoy na.

55. FACULTY IMPROVEMENTS (M.A)

56. Thank you

57. Good

58. All good

59. The teaching process is very good and we request some more model based and real religious management.

60. Good

61. Both the text content and the teaching process are good enough but we appeal to conduct the learning process through specific realistic teaching and models.

62. Good

63. Good

64. Sorry no idea

65. Somnath sir teaching process is grate full for me

66. Thank you sir /madam

67. Good

68. Good

69. Very good

70. Very Good

71. All improvements are awesome

72. Good

73. All improvements are awesome

74. Good

75. Good

76. Good

77. Good

78. Nothing

79. No problem

80. Very good readof teaching

81. Not bad

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 91 Response

Dept. English


Name Dr. Ranjit Pa

Year 2022


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. All is well
2. I appreciate the hard work you have put into this paper.
3. Your passion and dedication is beyond words!
4. All is well
5. Good
6. Very good
7. Very good
8. Good
9. Outstanding
10. Outstanding
11. It's quite good
12. Very good

13. Very good

Total91 Response Found

14. Very good

15. He is a great teacher also a good friend

16. Sir behaviour also very good

17. Very helpful teacher

18. Everything is quite good.

19. sir help us properly in our class schedules and teaches us carefully

20. Very friendly.. Very kind.. Very intelligent.. Teachea us very well

21. Sir is too good for ever.

22. Satisfied

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 61 Response

Dept. English


Name Mr. Atanu Saha

Year 2022


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. All is well
2. Good
3. Very good
4. Very good
5. Everything is quite good.

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 134 Response

Dept. English


Name Mr. Gour Ha

Year 2022


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Good
2. I am satisfied
3. Good and friendly teacher
4. All is well
5. Excellent
6. Outstanding
7. Outstanding
8. It's quite good
9. Very good
10. Very good
11. Very good
12. Vary good

- Total134 Response Found
13. Very good

14. Need books and continue classes

15. Teacher should me more friendly

16. Need book in library

17. Average

18. Thank you

19. Very good teacher

20. Everything is quite good

21. Good teacher

22. Nothing needs

23. All good

24. Very good

25. Good

26. Very Good

27. All good

28. Good

29. Prepare. Immediately after a classroom observation, prepare for the feedback conversation with careful reflection of what was observed. ...

30. Sufficient

31. Good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 68 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions


1. Good
2. Very good
3. Very good
4. Very good
5. Unavailability of books in Library. So there are some needs to improve the library
6. Everything is quite good.
7. Good personality

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 72 Response

Dept. Name Year


1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Good

2. Very good

3. Very good

4. Very good

5. Sir you deserve all good and wealth in this world, because you love other as yourself and you always help and support. You have been a great teacher, lecturer, and a Professor to me, and I fully enjoyed your lectures, you explained everything in deta


6. Sir you deserve all good and wealth in this world, because you love other as yourself and you always help and support. You have been a great teacher, lecturer, and a Professor to me, and I fully enjoyed your lectures, you explained everything in deta

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 60 Response

Dept. Name Year


1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Good
2. Very good
3. Very good
4. Everything is quite good.
5. All Teachers Teaches us very Excellent

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 67 Response

Dept. English


Name Mr. Uttam M.

Year 2022


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Outstanding
2. Good
3. Good
4. Very good
5. Very good
6. Very good
7. Very good
8. Everything is quite good.
9. Kind hearted
10. Nothing needs

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 60 Response

Dept. English


Name Mrs. Mamata

Year 2022


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions


1. Good
2. Very good
3. Very good
4. Everything is quite good.
5. Good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 62 Response

Dept. Name Year


1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions


1. Excellent
2. Very good
3. Very good
4. Good
5. Everything is quite good
6. Madam is good hearted person
7. Mam,we needs extra class to your.
8. Good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 51 Response

Dept. Name Year


1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.



8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




11. Presentation of the lecture was.



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Good
2. Lab improvement
3. Lab satisfied
4. Lab improvement
5. Good
6. Online classes

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 49 Response

Dept.

Geography


Name

Mr. Madan S


Year

2022


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Good
2. Lab improvement
3. Lab satisfied
4. lab improvement
5. Good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 62 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Good
2. Lab improvement
3. Lab improvement
4. Lab improvement
5. Lab improvement
6. Lab improvement
7. Lab improvement
8. Lab improvement
9. ????????? ?? ??????? ?????? ?? ??? ??? ?? ?? ?????? ???????? ??? ????? ?
10. Good
11. Very good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 48 Response

Dept.

Geography


Name

Mrs. Jhuma


Year

2022


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Good
2. Lab improvement
3. Lab Improvement
4. Lab satisfied
5. Good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 85 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No problem
2. No problem
3. No problem
4. No problem
5. Very good
6. No problem
7. Very good
8. not
9. Not
10. No problem
11. No problem
12. Very good

13. Very good

Total85 Response Found

14. Very good

15. Good

16. Very good

17. Very good

18. Very good

19. Good

20. No problem

21. Yes

22. Good

23. Yes

24. If tha syllabas of our department (History generic) is shortened then it will be bater for us to acquired deep knowledge about the subject.

25. yes

26. Yas

27. Not

28. No problem

29. Very good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 163 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Good
2. Water Problem
3. No problem
4. No problem
5. No problem
6. No problem
7. No problem
8. No problem
9. Very good
10. No problem
11. Very good
12. No problem

13. Not

Total163 Response Found

14. Very good

15. Not

16. Not

17. Very good

18. Very good

19. Very good

20. Very good

21. Very good

22. Very good

23. very good

24. Very good

25. Very good

26. Good

27. Very good

28. Good

29. Good

30. Vere good

31. No problem

32. ?????? ?????? ???? ??

33. Very Good

34. No problem

35. No problem

36. ?????? ???? ????????

37. ?????? ???? ????????

38. Yes

39. Yes

40. Good

41. Good

42. All good

43. All Good

44. All good

45. No problem

46. All improvements are awesome

47. Yes

48. Good

49. Yes

50. Yas

51. Not

52. Good

53. Good

54. ??????? ??????? ?? ????????? ???? ???? ???? ???? ???? ? ??????, ????????? ???? ??????? ?????? ?????? ???????

55. Very good

56. All improvements are awesome

57. No problem

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 129 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No problem
2. ????? ?????? ????? ???? ????? ???? ????????? ???????????
3. No problem
4. I wish there will be improve free library
5. Very good
6. No problem
7. No problem
8. Very good
9. not
10. Not
11. Not
12. Not

13. No problem

Total129 Response Found

14. No problem

15. Very good

16. Very good

17. Very good

18. very good

19. Very good

20. Not

21. Very good

22. Very good

23. Very good

24. Very good

25. Very good

26. Good

27. Very good

28. Very good

29. Very good

30. No problem

31. No problem

32. Himansu babu and all history deferment sir ans madam is good...

33. Very good

34. Very good

35. ?????? ?? ???? ???? ??

36. Yes

37. Study more discussion

38. Aro valo kore paranor asa rakchi

39. yes

40. Yas

41. Very Good

42. Not

43. Good

44. Good

45. Very good

46. No problem

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 66 Response

Dept. History


Name Smt. Keya C

Year 2022


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No problem
2. No problem
3. Very good
4. Very good
5. No problem
6. Very good
7. Not
8. not
9. Not
10. No problem
11. Very good
12. Very good

13. Very good

Total66 Response Found

14. Very good

15. Good

16. Yes

17. Yas

18. Not

19. Very good

20. No problem

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 48 Response

Dept. Mathematics


Name Dr. Puja Ghc

Year 2022


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Very good
2. Very nice
3. Please send some complex analysis note
4. All good
5. Respected mam
6. All improvements are awesome.

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 63 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Very nice
2. Very good
3. I want to some revisions class
4. All good
5. Best and knowledgeable sir
6. All improvements are awesome.

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 45 Response

Dept. Mathematics


Name Sri Biswajit M

Year 2022


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Very good
2. Very good
3. Please send defferent book in our department
4. All good
5. Respected and good sir
6. All improvements are awesome.

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 48 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Very nice
2. Very nice
3. Please send defferent book in our department
4. All good
5. Best sir
6. All improvements are awesome.
7. All improvements are awesome.

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 100 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- Books
- Everything is fine
- Very good
- Very good
- Good
- Very good
- Very good
- Good
- Good
- Good
- Good
- Good

13. ????

Total100 Response Found

14. Very Good

15. All good

16. Very good

17. All good

18. All good

19. Good

20. All good

21. All good

22. Yes

23. Good

24. Good

25. Good

26. Valo

27. All improvements are awesome

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 82 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Thanks
2. Very good
3. No
4. Good
5. No
6. No problem
7. No problem
8. No
9. No problem
10. Good
11. No
12. Good


- Total82 Response Found
13. Yes
14. Good
15. Good
16. Good
17. Very good
18. According to me, there should be remained a good relationship among students and teachers.
19. Good
20. ?????? ????? ???? ??
21. Let's go further
22. Good
23. Good
24. Good
25. Good
26. Good
27. Good
28. Good
29. Good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 70 Response

Dept. Name Year


1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Very Very good
2. Online a exam chai
3. Online exam chai
4. Need more reference books on library
5. Library tye aroo book chi
6. Liberty te aro book darkar.
7. Suggetion chai....
8. Aro valo faselatis chai
9. Campas fesility.
10. Library te aro valo book ???
11. Library boi chai aro
12. ?????????? ?? ???? ????? ???? ????? ?? ?????????? ?????????? ???? ??

- 13. We need some books and notes in this subject to improve our quality.
- 14. Library te Samarendra Bhattyachariya write er philosophy book thakle valo hata
- 15. Library ta aroo book chai
- 16. Good
- 17. Good
- 18. Good
- 19. Good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 68 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Good
2. Good
3. Good
4. Good
5. Very good
6. Good
7. Good
8. Good
9. good
10. good
11. Good
12. good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 122 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Very good
2. Very good
3. teacher very help full man
4. Good
5. Good
6. Good
7. Good
8. Very good
9. Good
10. very good
11. Good
12. Good

13. Very good	Total122 Response Found
14. Good	
15. Vere good	
16. Very good teacher	
17. Good	
18. Good	
19. Good	
20. Good	
21. Good	
22. Very good	
23. Vary good	
24. Very good	
25. very good	
26. Very good	
27. Valo	
28. Responsible teacher	
29. Subrata babu is a very good teacher.	
30. Very good	
31. Good	
32. Yes	
33. Good	
34. Good	
35. Very good	
36. All good	
37. All good	
38. Ok	
39. Jiboner prothom Alor pothe	
40. ?????? ????? ???? ??	
41. Subrata babu is a good teacher...	
42. Good	
43. Good	
44. All improvements are awesome	
45. Good	
46. Good	
47. Let's go further	
48. yes	
49. Yes	
50. Good	
51. Subrata sir er teching process is very good. ????	

52. Good

Total122 Response Found

53. Good

54. Very good

55. Good

56. Good

57. Good

58. Good

59. Good

60. Good

61. Good

62. Good

63. Good

64. Good

65. Good

66. Good

67. Good

68. Good

69. Good

70. good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 15 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 26 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Nice
2. Provide department books
3. Nice
4. Nice
5. Very good
6. V

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 15 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions


1. Nice
2. Provide department books
3. Nice
4. Very good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 16 Response

Dept. Name Year


1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Good
2. Provide department books
3. Very good teacher..

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 17 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions


1. Nice
2. Provide department books
3. Provide department books
4. Nice
5. Very good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 29 Response

Dept. Name Year


1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Kono problem nei
2. Good
3. Thank you mam
4. Medam is very Intalegent
5. Ma'am is very good
6. Good
7. Very good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 23 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No problem...
2. Good
3. Good
4. Medam is very intelligent
5. Ma'am is very good
6. Good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 20 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Good
2. Very good
3. Very Good
4. Very Good
5. verynice

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 19 Response

Dept.

Political Scie


Name

Mr. Kashi Ne


Year

2022


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Better
2. Very good
3. Very good teacher
4. ?????? ?? ????? ??????? ????? ??? ????? ??? ????? ????????? ?????? ??????? ??????
5. Very good
6. Very Good
7. very good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 31 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No more
2. very good
3. Very good
4. Very good
5. Very good
6. Very good
7. good
8. very good
9. Very good
10. Very Good
11. good
12. Very Good

13. Good

Total31 Response Found

14. good

15. good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 39 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No more
2. Pathan pathan vlo
3. Very good
4. Very good
5. Very good
6. Very Good
7. Very good
8. Valo
9. good
10. Very good
11. Very Good
12. yes

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 168 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No problem
2. Very good
3. No problem
4. Good
5. Excellent
6. excellent
7. No idea .
8. More various book
9. Good
10. No problem
11. no
12. No suggestion

13. No suggestion

Total168 Response Found

14. Rinku Mondal

15. Very good

16. All good

17. All improvements are awesome

18. Yes

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 97 Response

Dept.

Sanskrit


Name

Dr. Gagan C


Year

2022


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No problem
2. No problem
3. No problem
4. No problem
5. Very good
6. No problem
7. Good
8. excellent
9. More Various book
10. Good
11. No problem
12. no

13. No suggestion

Total97 Response Found

14. No suggestion

15. All good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 107 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No problem
2. No problem
3. No problem
4. Very good
5. No problem
6. Good
7. excellent
8. No idea.
9. More various books
10. Good
11. No problem
12. no

- 13. No suggestion
- 14. No suggestion
- 15. No suggestion
- 16. Ok

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 88 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No problem
2. No problem
3. No problem
4. Very good
5. Vary good
6. Good
7. excellent
8. Good
9. More various books
10. More various books
11. No problem
12. No suggestion

13. No suggestion

Total88 Response Found

14. No suggestion

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 108 Response

Dept.

Sanskrit


Name

Miss Supriya


Year

2022


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No problem
2. No problem
3. No problem
4. Very good
5. Good
6. excellent
7. More various books
8. Good
9. No problem
10. no
11. No suggestion
12. No suggestion

13. No suggestion

Total108 Response Found

14. All good

15. No suggestion

16. Library te books add

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 95 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No problem
2. No problem
3. No problem
4. Very good
5. Very good
6. Good
7. excellent
8. Good
9. No problem
10. no
11. No suggestion
12. No suggestion

13. No suggestion

Total95 Response Found

14. All good

Total95 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

Based on 96 Response

Dept.

Sanskrit


Name

Mr. NIMAI S.


Year

2022


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No problem
2. No problem
3. No problem
4. Very good
5. Good
6. excellent
7. Good
8. No problem
9. no
10. No suggestion
11. No suggestion
12. No suggestion

13. No suggestion

Total96 Response Found


14. All good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 90 Response

Dept. Name Year


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No problem
2. No problem
3. No problem
4. No problem
5. Very good
6. Good
7. Good
8. excellent
9. Good
10. More various books
11. No problem
12. No suggestion

13. No suggestion

Total90 Response Found

14. No suggestion

15. No suggestion

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 32 Response

Dept. Santali


Name MR. Kali cha

Year 2022


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Very good

2. Very good

3. Yes

4. Yes

5. Yes

6. Yes

7. Yes

8. Yes

9. Yes

10. Yes

11. Yes

12. Yes

13. Yes

Total32 Response Found

14. Yes

15. Yes

16. Good

17. Good

18. Very good

19. Good

20. Good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 35 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Yes
2. Yes
3. Yes
4. Yes
5. Yes
6. Yes
7. Yes
8. Yes
9. Yes
10. Yes
11. Yes
12. Yes

13. Good

Total35 Response Found

14. Good

15. Good

16. Good

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 68 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No
2. GOOD TEACHER
3. Good
4. No suggestions
5. ???? ???? ????? ????? ????? ????? ???? ?????
6. Good
7. Sir is very good
8. Sir is a very good
9. No
10. No
11. Na
12. The sir is very intalegen

13. Good

Total68 Response Found

14. No

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 46 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- No
- Sangita medam is a very halpful.
- Sangita mam teaches us very well.she also give me a huge knowledge about the topic.I also love to attend her class.
- Good
- No suggestions
- Good
- No
- No
- Na
- Good
- All good
- Need to some practical materials

13. Very good

Total46 Response Found

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 51 Response

Dept. Zoology


Name Dr.Shampa I

Year 2022


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No
2. Very nice
3. Good
4. No suggestions
5. ??? ???? ?????? ?????? ?????? ??? ???? ???????
6. Good
7. R ektu besi kore lekhale valo hoto
8. No
9. No
10. Na
11. Very good
12. I don't know

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 33 Response

Dept.


Name

Year


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Good
2. No
3. No
4. Na
5. Good